



Research and Development

SOURCE SAMPLING

FINE PARTICULATE MATTER:

WOOD-FIRED INDUSTRIAL BOILER

Prepared for

Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards

Prepared by

National Risk Management
Research Laboratory
Research Triangle Park, NC 27711

Foreword

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency is charged by Congress with protecting the Nation's land, air, and water resources. Under a mandate of national environmental laws, the Agency strives to formulate and implement actions leading to a compatible balance between human activities and the ability of natural systems to support and nurture life. To meet this mandate, EPA's research program is providing data and technical support for solving environmental problems today and building a science knowledge base necessary to manage our ecological resources wisely, understand how pollutants affect our health, and prevent or reduce environmental risks in the future.

The National Risk Management Research Laboratory (NRMRL) is the Agency's center for investigation of technological and management approaches for preventing and reducing risks from pollution that threaten human health and the environment. The focus of the Laboratory's research program is on methods and their cost-effectiveness for prevention and control of pollution to air, land, water, and subsurface resources, protection of water quality in public water systems; remediation of contaminated sites, sediments and ground water; prevention and control of indoor air pollution; and restoration of ecosystems. NRMRL collaborates with both public and private sector partners to foster technologies that reduce the cost of compliance and to anticipate emerging problems. NRMRL's research provides solutions to environmental problems by: developing and promoting technologies that protect and improve the environment; advancing scientific and engineering information to support regulatory and policy decisions; and providing the technical support and information transfer to ensure implementation of environmental regulations and strategies at the national, state, and community levels.

This publication has been produced as part of the Laboratory's strategic long-term research plan. It is published and made available by EPA's Office of Research and Development to assist the user community and to link researchers with their clients.

E. Timothy Oppelt, Director
National Risk Management Research Laboratory

EPA REVIEW NOTICE

This report has been peer and administratively reviewed by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and approved for publication. Mention of trade names or commercial products does not constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

This document is available to the public through the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Source Sampling Fine Particulate Matter:

Wood-Fired Industrial Boiler

by

Dave-Paul Dayton and Joan T. Bursey
Eastern Research Group, Inc.
P. O. Box 2010
Morrisville, North Carolina 27560

EPA Contract 68-D7-0001

EPA Work Assignment Manager: N. Dean Smith
Air Pollution Prevention and Control Division
National Risk Management and Research Laboratory
Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711

Prepared for:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Office of Research and Development
Washington, DC 20460

Abstract

Fine particulate matter of aerodynamic diameter 2.5 μm or less (PM-2.5) has been implicated in adverse health effects, and a National Ambient Air Quality Standard for PM-2.5 has been promulgated (July 1997) by the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. A national network of ambient monitoring stations has been established to assist states in determining areas which do not meet the ambient standard for PM-2.5. For such areas, it is important to determine the major sources of the PM-2.5 so states can devise and institute a control strategy to attain the ambient concentrations set by the standard.

One of the tools often used by states in apportioning ambient PM-2.5 to the sources is a source-receptor model. Such a model requires a knowledge of the PM-2.5 chemical composition emitted from each of the major sources contributing to the ambient PM-2.5 as well as the chemical composition of the PM-2.5 collected at the receptor (ambient monitoring) sites. This report provides such a profile for a wood-fired industrial boiler equipped with a multistage electrostatic precipitator control device. Along with the PM-2.5 emission profile, data are also provided for gas-phase emissions of several organic compounds. Data are provided in a format suitable for inclusion in the EPA source profile database, SPECIATE.

Table of Contents

Abstract	ii
List of Figures	v
List of Tables	vi
Acknowledgments	ix
 Section 1 Introduction	 1
Test Objectives	2
Organization of Report	3
 Section 2 Conclusions	 4
 Section 3 Methods and Materials	 7
Description of the Testing Program	7
Description of Test Equipment	9
Process Description/Site Operation	15
Description of the Boiler	16
Description of the Fuel	16
Collection/Analysis of Fuel Samples	17
Pre-Test Survey	18
 Section 4 Experimental Procedures	 21
Preparation for Test Setup	22
Application of EPA Methods 1-4	22
Measurement of O ₂ and CO ₂ Concentrations	26
Determination of Average Moisture Using EPA Method 4	27
Setup of the Dilution Sampling System	30
Pre-Test Leak Check	34
Orifice Flow Check	35
Determination of Test Duration	35
Canister/Veriflow Blanks	35
Determination of Flow Rates	36
Laboratory Experimental Methodology	54
PM-2.5 Mass	54
Elemental Analysis	55
Water-Soluble Inorganic Ions	55
Elemental Carbon/Organic Carbon	56
Organic Compounds	56

Table of Contents (Continued)

Carbonyl Compounds	58
Canister Analyses: Air Toxics and Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds	60
Particle Size Distribution Data	60
Section 5 Results and Discussion	66
PM Mass, Elemental/Organic Carbon, Major Inorganic Ions, and Major Elements	66
Speciated Particle-Phase (PM-2.5) Organic Compounds	68
Gas-Phase Carbonyl Compounds	68
Gas-Phase Air Toxics Whole Air Samples	84
Gas-Phase Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds	84
Particle Size Distribution Data	97
Section 6 Quality Assurance/Quality Control	100
Carbonyl Compound Analysis	103
Concurrent Air Toxics/Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compound Analysis	106
PM Mass Measurements, Elemental Analysis, Water-Soluble Ion Analysis, and GC/MS Analysis	106
Section 7 References	112
 Appendices	
A Table of Unit Conversions	A-1
B Supporting Data for PM-2.5	B-1
C Elemental Analyses	C-1
D Major Ions	D-1
E Elemental Carbon / Organic Carbon	E-1
F Semivolatile and Nonvolatile Organic Species	F-1
G Supporting Data for Carbonyl Analysis	G-1
H Supporting Data for Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compound Analysis ..	H-1
I Data from the Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer	I-1

List of Figures

3-1	Diagram of the dilution sampler and dilution air conditioning system.	11
3-2	Instrumentation for control and analysis of the dilution sampler.	14
3-3	Wood-chip fired boiler test facility (SCC 10200902), sampling port.	19
3-4	Schematic diagram of physical layout of process and sampling location.	20
4-1	Dilution system sampling module positioned at the sampling location.	31
4-2	Dilution system sampling probe installed in 6 in. I.D. flanged port.	32
4-3	Dilution system control module positioned at the sampling location.	32
4-4	TSI SMPS positioned at the sampling location.	33
4-5	Dilution system with all sample collection arrays and instruments attached.	33
4-6	Blower flow, pre-test, August 7, 2000	39
4-7	Dilution flow, pre-test, August 7, 2000	40
4-8	Venturi flow, pre-test, August 7, 2000.	40
4-9	Schematic diagram of sample collection arrays used in field test (August 8-9, 2000).	41
4-10	Blower flow, Test 1—Day 1, August 8, 2000	50
4-11	Dilution flow, Test 1—Day 1, August 8, 2000	50
4-12	Venturi flow, Test 1—Day 1, August 8, 2000.	51
4-13	Blower flow, Test 2—Day 2, August 9, 2000	51
4-14	Dilution flow, Test 2—Day 2, August 9, 2000	52
4-15	Venturi flow, Test 2—Day 2, August 9, 2000.	52
5-1	Particle size distribution (9 to 400 nanometers) for test day 1 (8/8/00).	98
5-2	Particle size distribution (9 to 400 nanometers) for test day 2 (8/9/00).	99
6-1	ERG chain of custody form.	102

List of Tables

2-1	Mass Emission Rates for Nonmethane Organic Compounds and Carbonyl Compounds	4
3-1	Sampling Medium Used for Collection of Samples, Analysis Performed, Analytical Method, and Responsible Laboratory	8
3-2	Results of the Analysis of the Wood Chips	17
4-1	EPA Method 1—Traverse Point Location for Circular Ducts	23
4-2	Average Flue Gas Velocity for Each Traverse Point (Average Flue Gas Velocity)	25
4-3	Moisture Recovery for Method 4 (Measured on August 7, 2000)	28
4-4	Blank Values for Veriflows® and Canisters	36
4-5	Run Time Flow Summary Information: Pre-Test, August 7, 2000	38
4-6	Run Time Summary Information, Test Run #1 (August 8, 2000)	46
4-7	Run Time Summary Information, Test Run #2 (August 9, 2000)	48
4-8	Carbonyl Compounds Analyzed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography: Method Detection Limits	59
4-9	Detection Limits (ppbv) for Air Toxics Compounds (Analytical Method TO-15)	61
4-10	Detection Limits ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) for Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds (“Technical Assistance Document for Sampling and Analysis of Ozone Precursors” (U.S. EPA, 1998))	63
5-1	Fine Particle Emission Rate and Fine Particle Chemical Composition of Emissions from An Industrial Wood-Fired Boiler, Including Gas-Phase Volatile Organic and Carbonyl Compounds	67
5-2a	Gas- and Particle-Phase Organic Compounds as Measured by Denuder-Quartz Filter-PUF	69
5-2b	Gas- and Particle-Phase Organic Compounds as Measured by Quartz Filter-PUF-PUF	75
5-3a	Carbonyl Compounds Analyzed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography Field Samples, August 8, 2000	81
5-3b	Carbonyl Compounds Analyzed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography Field Samples, August 9, 2000	82
5-4	Total Mass of Carbonyl Compounds for Each Test Day: Speciated and (Speciated + Unspeciated), Corrected for Blanks	83
5-5	Analytical Results for Field Samples, Air Toxics Compounds (Analytical Method TO-15)	85
5-6a	Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compound Data, August 8, 2000	88
5-6b	Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compound Data, August 9, 2000	92
5-7	Total Mass of Speciated as well as Speciated + Unspeciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds Collected, Test #1 and Test #2	96

List of Tables (Continued)

6-1	Field Sampling Equipment Quality Control Measures	101
6-2	Carbonyl Analysis: Quality Control Criteria	104
6-3	Quality Control Procedures for the Concurrent Analysis for Air Toxics and SNMOC	107
6-4	PM Mass Measurements: Quality Control Criteria	109
6-5	Elemental Analysis: Quality Control Criteria	109
6-6	Water-Soluble Ion Analysis: Quality Control Criteria	110
6-7	Quality Control Procedures for Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry Analysis of Semivolatile Organic Compounds.	111

Appendices

B-1	PM Masses from Wood-Fired Industrial Boiler, August 8, 2000 and August 9, 2000 .	B-2
C-1	Elemental Analysis	C-2
D-1	Ion Chromatographic Analysis. Data from Wood-Fired Boiler (wt% of PM Mass) .	D-2
E-1	Elemental Carbon/Organic Carbon (wt% of PM Mass)	E-2
F-1	Semivolatile and Nonvolatile Organic Compounds - Mass Emission Rates for Composite Wood-Fired Boiler Test #1	F-2
F-2	Calculated Gas- and Particle-Phase Emissions from the Wood-Fired Boiler, August 8, 2000 and August 9, 2000	F-3
G-1	Carbonyl Compounds Analyzed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography Field Samples, August 8-9, 2000 (Results reported by individual carbonyl sampling tube.)	G-2
G-2	Carbonyl Compounds Analyzed by High Performance Liquid Chromatography Field Samples, Generated August 8-9, 2000	G-3
G-3	Carbonyl Compounds (Speciated). Mass Emission Rates for Wood-Fired Boiler (SCC 10200902), Test #1 (August 8, 2000)	G-4
G-4	Carbonyl Compounds (Speciated). Mass Emission Rates for Wood-Fired Boiler (SCC 10200902), Test #2 (August 9, 2000)	G-5
G-5	Carbonyl Compounds (Speciated + Unspeciated). Mass Emission Rates for Wood-Fired Boiler (SCC 10200902), Test #1 (August 8, 2000)	G-6
G-6	Carbonyl Compounds (Speciated + Unspeciated). Mass Emission Rates for Wood-Fired Boiler (SCC 10200902), Test #2 (August 9, 2000)	G-7
H-1	Analytical Results for Field Samples, Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds, Test #1, 8/8/2000	H-2
H-2	Analytical Results for Field Samples, Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds, Test #2, 8/9/2000	H-6
H-3	Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds. Mass Emission Rates for Wood-Fired Boiler (SCC 10200902), Test #1 (August 8, 2000)	H-10
H-4	Speciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds. Mass Emission Rates for Wood-Fired Boiler (SCC 10200902), Test #2 (August 9, 2000)	H-11
H-5	Calculation of Mass Emission Rates for Speciated + Unspeciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds for a Wood-Fired Boiler (SCC 10200902), Test #1 ..	H-12

List of Tables (Continued)

H-6	Calculation of Mass Emission Rate for Speciated + Unspeciated Nonmethane Organic Compounds for a Wood-Fired Boiler (SCC 10200902), Test #2 . . .	H-13
-----	--	------

Acknowledgments

Dave-Paul Dayton, Mark Owens, and Robert Martz of Eastern Research Group, Inc. (ERG) were responsible for conducting sampling at the test site and for preparing collected samples for transport to the analytical laboratories. Amy Frame, Donna Tedder, and Randy Bower of ERG were responsible for the carbonyl and volatile organic compound analyses. Joan Bursey and Raymond Merrill of ERG provided data analysis and sections of the report pertaining to the ERG work on the project. Carol Hobson of ERG prepared the typewritten manuscript.

Michael Hays and Kara Linna of the EPA, NRMRL-RTP, were responsible for the analysis of organic compounds, elements, and ionic species. Yuanji Dong, Howard White, David Proffitt, and Tomasz Balicki of ARCADIS, Geraghty & Miller, Inc., provided technical support in preparing the dilution sampling system and sampling substrates, in performing the elemental/organic carbon analyses, and in extracting organic compounds from the various sampling substrates. N. Dean Smith was the EPA Project Officer responsible for overall project performance.